Operations Team Meeting Summary November 27-28, 2013 Portland, OR

Participants: Pres Pate, Rob Andrews, Dave Donaldson, Russell Porter, Cindy Thomson, Dick Brame, Bob Clark, Richard Cody, Mark Fisher, Pat Campfield, Mike Cahall, Jason Didden, Josh Demello, Ron Salz, Gordon Colvin (MRIP Program Manager), Forbes Darby and Scott Ward (Communications and Education Team), Lauren Dolinger Few (Information Management Team)

The MRIP Operations Team met in Portland, OR November 27-28, 2012. The team received updates on general MRIP activities, including the status of several OT projects, discussed the role and responsibilities of OT members, and evaluated OT research proposals.

MRIP Updates

Gordon Colvin, Forbes Darby and Lauren Dolinger Few provided updates on general MRIP issues, the Communications and Education Team and the Information Management Team, respectively. In addition, OT members provided updates on the following MRIP projects:

- 1. NC Intercept Survey Pilot Study: Ron Salz provided an update on the status of the pilot study to test and alternative design for the Access-Point Angler Intercept Survey (APAIS). A revised sampling design for the APAIS that addresses recommendations from the NRC Review was developed and tested in NC in 2010. A report describing the results of the study has been peer reviewed and submitted to the OT for review and potential certification as an MRIP methodology. The OT recognized the benefits of the new design and recommended certification of the design, but urged caution is how project results are communicated. Specifically, comparisons of precision of survey estimates between the MRFSS design and the tested design are not appropriate and are subject to misinterpretation. The OT recommended that the project team identify more appropriate ways to compare the efficiency of the surveys.
- 2. Volunteer Angler Surveys: Jason Didden provided a summary of a project to evaluate the utility of opt-in data collection programs for collecting recreational fishing catch and effort data. Several states and recreational fishing groups administer opt-in data collection programs where anglers can record fishing activity. The project supported a workshop in February 2012 to review the characteristics of several specific programs and identify potential benefits and limitations. A report summarizing the workshop has been reviewed by the OT and is available on the MRIP website.
- 3. Gulf of Mexico For-Hire Logbook Project: Dave Donaldson provided an update on a project to design and test a logbook reporting program for Federally permitted for-hire vessels in portions of the Gulf of Mexico. The project did not achieve a complete census of for-hire effort or catch, but logbooks, accompanied by dockside validation, may provide a means for estimating catch and effort for the sector. A report describing the results of the project was reviewed by the OT and is currently undergoing a peer review. A follow-up report describing an estimation design that utilizes logbook data is expected in December. The peer review will be completed in early February.

- 4. Developing Improved Fishing Effort Surveys: Rob Andrews provided an overview of projects that have been implemented to develop an improved data collection design for collecting recreational fishing effort data. Pilot studies have demonstrated the benefits of mail survey designs that sample from both angler license databases and residential address frames. The Mixed-Mode, Dual-Frame Effort Survey is currently underway in NC, SC, GA and FL and is comparing results collected from telephone and mail surveys. The survey will continue through wave 6, 2012. A follow-up study was implemented in MA, NY, NC and FL beginning in wave 5, 2012 and will continue through wave 6, 2012.
- 5. Pacific RecFIN Survey Updates: Russell Porter provided an update of MRIP projects supporting the enhancement of recreational fishing surveys administered by CA, OR and WA. The states have implemented several pilot studies to evaluate data collection designs and test assumptions and improved methodologies.

OT Roles and Responsibilities

The team discussed the roles and responsibilities of OT members. Generally, team members expressed satisfaction with their current roles and the level of commitment required to satisfy OT responsibilities. However, the team expressed a need for more formal guidelines for reviewing project proposals to ensure that reviews are consistent. Pres Pate and Rob Andrews will draft guidelines and distribute to the team for review prior to the next round of proposals.

Proposal Evaluation

The Team received 17 proposals for consideration. One of the proposals, ORBS Database Improvements, was withdrawn by the project team prior to OT evaluation and re-submitted for funding consideration by the MRIP Information Management Team.

The remaining 16 proposals were evaluated, scored and commented on by individual OT members prior to the meeting. Specifically, OT members were asked to identify strengths and weaknesses for each project and score each project from zero (should not be funded) to 10 (best project ever). During the meeting, the OT discussed each project and made a determination as to whether each project should or should not be recommended for funding. The projects that were recommended for funding were prioritized based upon the average scores among all of the OT members.

The Team recommends 13 of the 16 projects for funding, with funding for several of the projects conditional upon follow-up by the project team. Funding recommendations and conditions for funding are provided in Table 1. Projects are listed in priority order, based upon the average scores among all OT members. In the event of insufficient funding to cover the costs of all recommended projects, the OT recommends funding projects at 100% of requested funding levels beginning with the highest priority projects and funding as many projects as the budget permits.

 $\label{thm:conditions} \mbox{Table 1. OT funding recommendations and funding conditions.}$

		Funding	
Project Title	Cost	Recommendation	Comments
Proportional Standard			
Error and Management			
Uncertainty in			
Recreational Data			
Collection on the	400 == 0 00	.,	
Atlantic Coast – Year 2	\$33,750.00	Y	None
Pilot surveys at			
unsampled ports and			
shoreline to calibrate			
adjustment factors in the expansion of catch,			
effort and CPUE from			
the existing creel survey			
in Guam	\$100,000.00	Υ	None
III Guaiii	7100,000.00	<u>'</u>	
			Proposal was submitted prior to the
			completion of a project report describing results of an August 2012
			MRIP workshop to review and assess
			data collection designs for HI.
			Subsequently, the proposal was
			lacking in detail. The workshop
			report has since been completed,
			and the project team will be asked
Design and test effort			to provide detailed descriptions of
surveys for shoreline			data collection designs to be tested
fishing in HMRFS	TBD	Y (Conditional)	prior to funding.
Discarded Fish			_
Identification in the			
Private Boat Mode	\$201,125.00	Υ	None
Electronic Data			
Accessibility: Phase Two			Request review by and coordination
of Electronic Data			with MRIP Information Management
Project	\$55,700.00	Υ	Team.

		Funding		
Project Title	Cost	Recommendation	Comments	
			The OT approves the concept of	
			developing and testing recreational	
			fishing data collection designs in	
			USVI. However, the design provided	
			in the proposal is premature	
			considering that the OT has not	
			received a project report describing	
			results of a September 2012 MRIP	
			workshop to review and assess data	
			collection designs for USVI. The OT	
			requests that the project team re-	
			evaluate the proposed data	
			collection designs once the	
			workshop report has been	
			completed. The OT is also	
			concerned about the composition of	
			the project team, specifically the	
			proposed project leadership by a	
			private consultant and the lack of	
			representation from SEREO/SEFSC	
			staff. The OT recommends that the	
			project be coordinated with SERO	
			and/or SEFSC, and that a	
			representative from a government	
Survey of Recreational			entity or stakeholder group assume	
Boat Fishers in the US			a leadership role on the project	
Virgin Islands	\$245,150.50	Y (Conditional)	team.	

		Funding	
Project Title	Cost	Recommendation	Comments
			As with the USVI proposal, the OT
			approves the concept of developing
			and testing new recreational fishing
			data collection designs in Puerto
			Rico. However, the design provided
			in proposal is premature considering
			that the OT has not received a
			project report describing results of a
			September 2012 MRIP workshop to
			review and assess data collection
			designs for Puerto Rico. The OT
			requests that the project team re-
			evaluate the design once the
			workshop report has been
			completed. The OT is also
			concerned about the composition of
			the project team, specifically the
			proposed project leadership by a
			private consultant and the lack of
			representation from SEREO/SEFSC
			staff. The OT recommends that the
Pilot study of the queen			project be coordinated with SERO
conch (Strombus gigas)			and/or SEFSC, and that a
and spiny lobster			representative from a government
(Panulirus argus)			entity or stakeholder group assume
recreational fishery in			a leadership role on the project
Puerto Rico	\$175,000.00	Y (Conditional)	team.
			The OT requests additional details
			about the project budget,
Internet based angler			specifically, the line item for the
logs as a source of			Snook Foundation Contract with
fishery dependent data	\$199,916.00	Y (Conditional)	FWC.
Assessment of External			
Data Indicators as			
Predictors of Fishing	40=		
Effort	\$97,615.00	Y	None
			The OT requests that the proposal
			be rewritten to highlight the
			research nature of the project and
			also describe the ultimate intent of
			the project (e.g. how many years of
ORBS Port of Nehalem			funding will be sufficient to develop
Sampling	\$50,384.00	Y (Conditional)	appropriate correction factors?).
Jamping	بان.40cربادد	i (Conditional)	appropriate correction (actors:).

		Funding	
Project Title	Cost	Recommendation	Comments
•			
			The OT requests that the proposal
			be rewritten to highlight the
Washington MRIP			research nature of the project and
Consultant's Review			also describe the ultimate intent of
Sampling Ocean			the project (e.g. how many years of
Fisheries in "Shoulder"			funding will be sufficient to develop
Months	\$132,127.37	Y (Conditional)	appropriate correction factors?).
			The OT requests that the proposal
			be rewritten to highlight the
			research nature of the project and
			also describe the ultimate intent of
			the project (e.g. how many years of
ORBS Significant Port			funding will be sufficient to develop
Additional Sampling	\$103,334.00	Y (Conditional)	appropriate correction factors?).
	1,	(The OT requests that the proposal
			be rewritten to highlight the
			research nature of the project and
			describe comparisons between the
ORBS Astoria Video Boat			proposed and existing data
Count	\$62,488.00	Y (Conditional)	collection designs.
			The reporting device would not be
			associated with the HI Commercial
			Marine License (CML) database.
			Subsequently, it would not be
			possible to determine if and when
			participating vessels submitted data
			via the device. MRIP consultant
			review of for-hire data collection
Consult Davids Sighing			designs recommended logbook
Smart Device Fishing Data Logging and			reporting that is mandated, enforced and validated. The
Reporting Assistance			proposed design includes none of
Application Pilot	\$55,000.00	N	these attributes.
Electronic Data	755,000.00	1.1	these attributes.
Modeling: Phase Three			
of Electronic Data			The proposal was withdrawn by the
Project	\$46,410.00	N	OT sponsor at the meeting.
Estimating the Use of	, ,		,
Descending Devices by			
Washington			The project is not a research project
Recreational Anglers	\$89,719.00	N	and not appropriate for OT funding.
Total Cost of			
Recommended Projects	\$1,456,589.87		